

TUTBURY WAR MEMORIALS COMMITTEE

Distribution of finalised Minutes

to: Committee members
cc: Parish Council (Chairman, Clerk)
Parochial Church Council (The Venerable John Hall)
Civic Society (Chair)
cfi: Bishop of Stafford

MEETING Held on Wednesday 4th June 2014 at 26 Hillcrest, Tutbury at 10am

Present: Mr Rick Nuth (Chairman) Mrs Sue Norris Mrs Jane Nuth (Secretary)
Mrs Pol Wood Mr Bob Wood Mr Brian Wright

- 1) **Apologies** were received from Mrs Chapman and Mrs Evans
- 2) **Minutes of the previous Meeting of 7th May 2014** were amended deleting the reference under 3) c) to Eon as a possible funder. The Minutes were then duly signed by the Chairman.

The Chairman said there had still not been a response regarding the Horninglow War Memorial. He had also seen the conservation of the Barton under Needwood War Memorial, the cross of which needs repairing.

3) **Funding**

- a) The Chairman reported that he and the Secretary had given a talk to the Tutbury Women's Institute on their work and had been presented with a cheque for £30 for TWMP.

They had also given one talk to the Burton MS Society and were booked to do another on 30th June 2014.

- b) The Treasurer reported that there was a balance of £811.52 in the account.

4) **Stone Cross War Memorial Project (SCWM)**

The draft Assessment Report on the SCWM, dated 22nd May 2014, had been received from Smith & Roper, the Conservation Architects.

The changes to the access up to the War Memorial was not in the scope of the original specification and therefore was not included in their Report. This was to be discussed with Rev Ian at the Church and taken back to Smith & Roper.

There were 5 possible contacts for potential conservators listed in the Report. War Memorials Trust (WMT) request 3 quotations when applying for a grant (up to 75%).

The following items in the Report need further explanation or clarification:

3.1 Confirmation of the lean due to the shaft moving (possibly a original ferrous spike).

3.2 Comments on the 1982 repair to the cross

Tutbury War Memorials Preservation Committee

3.4 The base of the shaft to be rotated to face away – where the 3 figures placed in a certain, significant direction?

3.5 The bronze plaques – no comment expressed. The advice from WMT is to conserve in present form.

3.6 “Doff system” – explanation please.

3.8 The landscaping was not in the remit.

4.1 3rd – No reference in structural work – clarify please.

To be noted that the location of the SCWM is in a Conservation site please.

Discussion was held on the logistics of the conservators working around Church of England activities (Wednesday am service, funerals, etc).

It was also questioned if TWMPCC is a legal entity with the power to employ folk.

On page 8 it was noted that there should be “7-14 days drying” – time scales would be needed. There was also very detailed descriptions of the mortars used, etc.

On page 12, 2.3, there was a description of the barriers, etc to be used.

When the Report is finalised, this would be sent to Rev Ian for the Parochial Church Council. A paper copy of this final Report would also be sent to Tutbury Parish Council.

On page 12, 1.2 the proposed date of commencement of work should be 2015.

RW suggested that dayworks – on tender figure please. Any additional work to be signed for

Photographs had been received from the structural engineer. RW queried who had copyright of these. RN answered saying that with WMT photographs there was “reserve the right to use”.

RN said that the controlling factors for Phase II that WMT *may* pay up to 75% maximum of the lowest tender.

It was agreed that Smith & Roper would help with the selection of contractors, possibly in January 2015. The work might then progress in 3Q of 2015.

WMT only pay *after* the completion certificate. The Committee discussed ways of covering the shortfall, should stage payment be required.

RN would ask Smith & Roper about this. It was queried if the PCC or the Bank would underwrite this, or perhaps a bank loan

RN outlined another problem – that of ownership, a condition of the WMT application.

On the Grant Application Form it states that the parties would “agree to reinstate for loss or damage”.

RN would speak with Rev Ian about the insurance of the Church and to WMT. Would the PCC cover this?

Tutbury War Memorials Preservation Committee

The Draft Report was accepted with the above alterations. RN to convey the Committee's comments to Smith & Roper.

5) **AOB** No further items were raised.

6) **Date of Next Meeting**

The date of the next Meeting was set at:

Wednesday 2nd July 2014 at 10am at 26 Hillcrest.

The Meeting closed at 12.10pm